

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/804,585

Applicant(s)

JACKSON, KATHLEEN
NYLUND

Examiner

KANG HU

Art Unit

3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kang Hu / John Hotaling (Primary).

(3) Jeremie Moll.

(2) Daniel Burnham.

(4) Wayne Tang.

Date of Interview: 01 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Acres (US 5,655,961) and Luciano (US 6,887,154 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


JOHN M. HOTALING, II
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative submitted arguments in regards to claim 1, "randomly selecting bonus to be awarded in the special bonus event" and "randomly selecting more than one but less than all of said players to be awarded the randomly selected bonuses." Applicant's representative emphasized that the combination of Acres and Luciano does not disclose at least these cited sections of claim 1. The examiners will consider upon formal submission of arguments. No agreement was reached on patentability.